

Points from JLP so far.

My objections to the numbers proposed for the South Hams (and by extension Modbury) were

- 1) the forecast household need included 300 more second homes. This point was discussed but I have the impression that there will be no change. The question of whether all new homes in the South Hams should have a "not second home" policy will be discussed at the sessions at the end of the month. NB The Modbury NP does contain such a policy.
- 2) There is a 25% uplift (about 800 more) to make houses more affordable. Despite this being economic incompetence it seems that there will be no change to this.
- 3) There is a transfer of 1,700 houses from West Devon to Plymouth (800) and South Hams (900) because West Devon hasn't allocated sufficient sites and the South Hams has an excess. The Inspector picked up this point and is specifically looking at providing more sites in West Devon. If there are fewer houses required in the South Hams then the figures for Modbury could be reduced.

NB the inspectors are not looking at sites that are not identified in the JLP – except for some in West Devon (see comment earlier)

However, Nicky Shepley for the Modbury Society mentioned all the allocated sites and the site off Ayleston Park (which isn't allocated in the JLP but is in the NP). The Inspector asked "what stage is the NP" and was told "imminent". I got the feeling that she may well allow some discussion on the unallocated site when it gets round to Modbury.

I raised the need for a relief road as expressed by two general questionnaires over the past 12 years. This may also be discussable at the Modbury session. The Devon CC officer said "it is an over engineered solution for a minor traffic problem"!

Mark Lawrence 12 2 18